

Attachment D

This table includes the responses to the annotated comment letter dated April 13th, 2006 from Robert Brown of the City of San Rafael Community Development Department (see attached). Included in these responses are recommended revisions to the *San Rafael Area Sphere of Influence and Service Review* report.

Comment #	Comment	Response	Recommended Action
1.	Population #s should be checked throughout report.	Comment noted	Revise population #s to reflect accurate information.
1 (a)	Population in Table 1 for Sphere of Influence not City.	Comment noted	Revise population #s to reflect accurate information.
1 (b)	On Page 8, population number is for SOI.	Comment noted	Edit as shown: “With a population of 68,582 <u>56,063</u> , San Rafael is the largest incorporated city in Marin.
1 (c)	Page 34, population for City in 2000 was 56,063.	Comment noted	Revise population #s to reflect accurate information.
1 (d)	Page 34, San Rafael’s SOI in 1990 was 60,387. Percent increase is inaccurate.	Comment noted	Revise population #s to reflect accurate information.
1 (e)	On Page 55, last sentence on page, delete “St. Vincent’s/Silveria”.	Table 11 is referenced on this page and was created to look at the <u>current</u> Sphere of Influence of San Rafael. Therefore, St Vincent’s/Silveira properties have been included in this analysis.	No edit recommended.
2.	Suggested update to LGVSD’s solid waste services	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested updates.
3.	Suggested update to CSA #19’s service agreement.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested updates.
4 (a)	On Page 33, replace last sentence with a more accurate statement regarding regional housing needs.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested statement.

Comment #	Comment	Response	Recommended Action
4 (b)	On page 40, statement regarding reduction of housing alternatives after exclusion of SVS is inaccurate.	Comment noted	Revise to acknowledge City's fair share housing strategy not based on inclusion of St Vincent's/Silveira
4 (c)	On Page 40, last paragraph, sentence re. development of SVS would be subject to voter referendum is misleading.	Comment noted	Revise to delete reference to possible voter referendum.
5 (a)	Suggested wording for additional information about EMS on page 58.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested wording.
5 (b)	Add additional information about negotiations with Marinwood Fire.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested updated wording.
5 (c)	Page 58. Note additional information about County agreements.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate updated information.
5 (d)	Page 59. Lease and agreement end date is June, not July.	Comment noted	Revise to change date from July to June.
5 (e)	Page 59. Add additional information regarding City and County negotiations for CSA #19.	Comment noted	Revise to add updated information.
5 (f)	Page 59. Updated information about Marinwood CSD and response to medical emergencies.	Comment noted	Revise to clarify MCSD and City roles in medical emergencies.
5 (g)	Page 59. Suggested change to information about number of firefighters in and out of buildings.	Report references minimum CALOSHA requirements rather than local practice.	No edit recommended
5 (h)	Page 59. Suggest deleting statement about Joseph Court fire station.	There is relevance to referencing Joseph Court fire station.	No edit recommended.
5 (i)	Page 59, 6 th paragraph. Sentence regarding agreement with Marinwood is incomplete. Suggested wording.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested wording.
5 (j)	Page 60. Suggested wording regarding Fire Department agreement.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested wording.
5 (k)	Page 60, third paragraph. Replace with current information regarding responses	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested updated wording.

Comment #	Comment	Response	Recommended Action
	and increase of medical emergencies.		
5 (l)	Page 60, last paragraph. Replace with current information regarding fire and EMS services and objectives.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested wording.
5 (m)	Page 63, first paragraph. Delete second sentence regarding Marinwood CSD contract.	Comment noted	Edit as follows: The City has stated that extension of its contract with Marinwood CSD is not an option, although Discussions between the City and the District <u>continue have been ongoing.</u>
5 (n)	Page 63. First paragraph. Delete “automatic”.	Comment noted	No edit recommended.
5 (o)	Page 65. First paragraph. Revise sentence regarding service levels.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested updated wording.
5 (p)	Suggested additional information regarding negotiations with Marinwood.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested updated wording.
5 (q)	Pages 66-68. “Insufficient net cost savings” cannot be determined without further study.	Comment noted	No edit recommended.
5 (r)	Page 71. Update information regarding Critical Facilities Study and sales tax measure.	Comment noted	Add: <u>The Critical Facilities Study was completed in 2005 with recommendations to pursue a sales tax measure to adequately fund city operations.</u>
5 (s)	Page 71. Delete information regarding Joseph Court Fire Station.	Comment noted	Staff finds that the information is relevant to the study.
5 (t)	Page 71. Note that agreement covers only personnel costs.	Comment noted	No edit recommended.
5 (u)	Page 72. Note additional information on Measure S.	Comment noted	Incorporate suggested revision.
6 (a)	Page 75, fourth paragraph. Suggested	Comment noted	Incorporate suggested revision.

Comment #	Comment	Response	Recommended Action
	revision regarding joint powers organization.		
6 (b)	Page 75. Suggested revision to number of police beats.	Comment noted	Incorporate suggested revision.
6 (c)	Page 76. Delete first paragraph regarding Measure S funding.	Comment noted	No edit recommended.
6 (d)	Page 76. Suggested revision for clarity regarding police response calls.	Comment noted	Revise to clarify.
6 (e)	Page 77, section (d) Revise number of police positions.	Comment noted	Incorporate updated number of police positions.
6 (f)	Page 77, section (d). Note of additional information regarding Measure S funding.	Comment noted	Edit as shown: The passage of Measure S by San Rafael voters is expected to arrest or reverse this trend <u>by providing funding to sustain current levels of service.</u>
7 (a)	Page 80. Add additional information regarding budget.	Comment noted	Add the following sentence to last paragraph: <u>These funds have been reinstated in the 2005-06 State budget.</u>
7 (b)	Page 82, section (d). Suggested additional information regarding Measure S.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested edits.
8.	Page 92. Suggested additional information regarding Measure S.	Comment noted	Revise as shown: The City of San Rafael estimates that approval of Measure S ½ cent sales tax will generate \$4.6 million annually <u>to sustain current levels of service, and is not sufficient to fully restore staff reductions which occurred over the past four years.</u>

Comment #	Comment	Response	Recommended Action
			Revise as shown: The City will create a citizens oversight committee to determine how new revenues will be directed to sustaining programs and services <u>review expenditures to ensure they are spent in accordance with the measure approved by the voters.</u>
9.	Page 96. Suggested additional information regarding Measure S.	Comment noted	Edit as shown: ...to be put before voters in the November, 2005 election that was approved by voters in November 2005 to provide an estimated \$4.2 million to sustain current levels of service. Measure S funding is not sufficient to fully restore staff reductions over the past four years.
10.	Table 10. Note that City of SR provides Emergency Medical Services to Marinwood and Lucas Valley.	Comment noted	Add "City" to Marinwood and Lucas Valley under Emergency Medical.
11 (a)	Page 107. Service & Infrastructure Issues. SVS. Clarify "Excluded from City General Plan".	Comment noted	Add: The City has no plans to extend its services to this area
11 (b)	Page 107. Under SVS and "% added to population". Suggested wording "Population addition to <i>Marin County</i> will be determined through the countywide planning process".	The intensity of development of this area has not been established. The purpose of this part of the table is to show new service population <u>if</u> the area was annexed.	No modification recommended.
12 (a)	Page 118. Note that transit rail stop at SVS prohibited by State law.	Comment noted	No modification recommended.
12 (b)	Page 119, second bullet. St. Vincent's gym used by CYO of SF operating a	Comment noted	No modification recommended.

Comment #	Comment	Response	Recommended Action
	program for Marin County youth (not just San Rafael).		
12 (c)	Page 112, third bullet. Note that St. Vincent's facility used throughout the greater region (not just San Rafael).	Comment noted	No modification recommended.
12 (d)	Page 119. Other considerations. Note that development of affordable housing would help the County with meeting its fair share of regional housing needs.	Comment noted	Add comment to end of first paragraph.
12 (e)	Page 120. First paragraph. Correct to note that MCSD and SR have not disengaged and continue to work together towards a solution.	Comment noted	Edit to note that City and MCSD continue to negotiate an agreement to maintain current service levels, but financial impacts have not been determined.
13.	Page 140, first paragraph. Reference to four firefighters is not complete. New wording suggested.	Comment noted	Revise to incorporate suggested wording.